1877
(R.)

Fl. No. 5 of 1877
Subd. No. 1-10.

Sub: Dispensing in the levy of Local Cess in the Hassan District for December 1879.

1879

L.R.
5 of 1877

Serial No 1

Subject

Discrepancies in the levy of Local Cess in the Hassan District for December 1879.

Mallard
Subbaiah

№ 562

Memo.

Dy. No. [illegible]

In a review of the Demand, Collection and Balance Statements of the Nyapur and Hassan Dists. for Dec. /77, received with the communications marginally noted, the following discrepancies have been observed

From Dy. Comr. Nyapur dy. 5 Feb. /78
" Comr. Ashtagram No. 614/113 dy. 13 Feb. /78.
" Dy. Comr. Hassan No. 1184 dy. 8 Feb. /78.
" —Do— No. 1196 dy. 13 Feb. /78.
" Comr. Ashtagram No. 625/113 dy. 16 Feb. /78.

2. As regards the Nyapur District—

(1) The balance of Nov. / brought forward in the D.C.B. Statements for Dec. / does not tally with that shown in the accounts for Nov., there being a difference of Rs. 1037-2-7. Explanation may be called for.

(2) In Statement No. I (Land Revenue), the Demand for Dec. is not shown, owing perhaps to the late

| November /77 | | December /77 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Stat. A. | 36957-14-7 | Stat. I | 34201-14-6 |
| " B. | " - " - " | " II | " " " |
| " C. | 2299-10-11 | " III | 41736-2-7 |
| " D. | 48631-1-9 | " IV | 5857-12-7 |
| | | " V | " - " - " |
| | | " VI | 3159-9-6 |
| | | " VII | " - " - " |
| | | " VIII | 78- " - " |
| | | " IX | 1818-1-6 |
| | 87868-11-3 | | 86851-8-8 |
| | | Diff. + | 1037-2-7 |

6. It will be necessary also to call for information relative to the particulars of the amounts shown in Statement I as to Nyatwar, Bataji, Jodi, etc.

orders relating to the postponement of Khisti-
but in Col. 34 a sum of
Rs. 96,045-2-0½ is shown
as "collections in excess of
the demand". The particulars
entered in regard to the
collections may be adopted
for the demand and entered
accordingly in the statements
received, intimation of the
same being given to the
Dy. Comm[r].

(3). The total ~~Demand collections~~ as
marginally detailed amounts
to Rs. 1,23,327-2-0½; the
local cess thereon, @ half
an anna in the rupee, is
shown as Rs. 4608-10-3 (vide Stat[t]. VI.)
This latter amount exceeds
the amount actually
leviable (according to this
Office calculation) by Rs-
754-4-4.

| | Demand ~~Collections~~. | Local cess as per Dy. Comr's return. | Local cess as computed in this office. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Land Rev. | 96,045-2-0½ | 3506-15-9 | 3001-13-0 |
| Abkari | 21,186-11-9 | 908-6-5 | 662-1-4 |
| Sayer | 1604-6-8 | 56-15-1 | 50-2-3 |
| Forest | 4490-9-7 | 136-5-0 | 140-5-3 |
| Salt | 0-4-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-1 |
| | 1,23,327-2-0½ | 4608-10-3 | 3854-5-11 |

Diff. - 754-4-4

3. A similar discrepancy

## Unsurveyed Talukas

| | Demand | Local cess as per Dy. Comrs. Return | Local cess as computed in this Office. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Land Rev. | 33392-14-10 | 1940-10-3 | 1043-8-6 |
| Abkari. | 7137-8-8 | 251-0-4 | 223-0-9 |
| Forest. | 533-3-5 | 12-7-2 | 16-10-6 |
| Sayer. | 1246-13-4 | 35-11-11 | 38-15-3 |
| | 42310-8-3 | 2239-13-8 | 1322-3-0 |
| | * ..... | - 917-10-8 | |

## Surveyed Talukas. Belur.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Land Rev. | 3370-6-0 | 173-3-11 | 210-10-0 |
| | Ø ... | + 37-6-1 | |

has been observed in the Accounts of the Hassan District, in which the local cess on the Demand in unsurveyed talukas is shown as Rs. 2239-13-8, * that in settled talukas as Rs. 173-3-11. Ø Explanation in both the cases seems necessary.

4. In the Belur Taluk (which is now settled) local cess on Land Revenue has been levied @ one anna, and on Still-head duty and Sayer @ half-an anna. It does not appear why the cess should not be uniformly levied.

5. The interest on the arrears of Land Revenue etc. are shown as under

Mysore Dist. Rs. 8-14-9
Hassan —"— 5-14-7

Yrs

The order relating to the remission of interest on all arrears of land revenue etc. sums to have been overlooked. × Vide Notification No. 268 dt. 22 Nov. 76, pub. at p. 314 of the Bombay Gazette (Part. I) dt. Nov. 11, 1876.

b. The Demand, Collection and Balance Statements are received in this office in duplicate — one set from the District office direct & another thro' the Comr. of the Div. The latter is unnecessary and may be ordered to be discontinued, all that may be required from the Division office will be a report of such discrepancies etc. observed on their own review. Orders separately passed.

A. Thomson Esqy
1/3/78

Approd —

2.3.

Drafted 6/3/78.

Memo 2-50 in continuation

of No. 562.

6. The foregoing observations were duly communicated in this Office order No. 3933 dy. 12 March 90. P.A. 90.

In accordance therewith, the Comm^r of the Ashtagram Dist^t submits the explanations of the Dy. Comrs. of the Mysore and Hassan Districts.

7. As regards the Mysore Dist., the several discrepancies noted in the mem have been satisfactorily explained. The following points however require notice.

(a). The Dy. Comr states

† No. 76/18 dy. 25 April 1890.

" the balance of Rs. 1037-2-7 × " formed the total out-
" standings of the revenue
" of the island of Seringapa-
" tam, which has all along
" been dealt with under
" the head '8 Miscellaneous'.
" In the corresponding new
" form (No 5.) there is
" no column wherein
" outstanding balances could
" be shown. Hence the
" amount was left out,
" being that the receipts
" will be shown as received."
This may be admitted.
But, as it is important
that the amount in
question should be shown
in the returns, I would
propose, that the

× (vide para 2 (1) above)

revenue yield of Vanivilasapuram
-ported to this Administration
be treated as an independent
item, and shown under
the respective heads in
all the new D.C.B.
Statements, separately, below
the total revenue of the
other taluks of the District.

(b) With reference to
the discrepancies arrent
local cess noted in para 2 (3)
above, the Dy Comr's observations
on the three noteworthy
points are as follows.

1. "Land Rev." (1) The increased levy of
Rs. 505-2-9 under Land Revenue
is due to the circumstance
that "the revenue does
"of Inam villages does
"not come in under the
"head 'demand', but the
"cess levied thereon does

"~~Cess~~ under head 'collections'

(2) "The amount of jodi

"payable to Govt. only is shown

"under the head 'demand'

"for jodi villages, but the

"cess is recovered on the

"gross revenue of such villages

"The sums falling under

the above items for the

"current & past years are shown

2. "Abkary". Increase Levy of

"Rs. 246-8-1 is due to the

local cess having been

"levied on the amounts

"paid to inamdars by the

"Contractors".

3. Forest. Increase of Rs. 4-0-3.

"The following items of Forest

"Revenue which pay no local

"cess are included in the amount

"upon which the Chief Comrs

"Office calculations are based".

"1st Excise fee on bamboos & tangadi boots"

"2nd Sale proceeds of firewood".

"3rd Fines &c."

For

For purposes of check it is necessary that this Office should be informed of the amount of local cess levied in excess or otherwise of the that actually due on the total demand. I would therefore suggest that a note explanatory of the difference be entered in the column of Remarks.

8. The Dy. Commr of the Hassan Dist. has omitted to explain the discrepancies noted in para 1. of the order No. 3933/90 dt 12/3/78.

9. As regards the want of uniformity in the levy of local cess in the Belur-settled-taluk the Hassan Dy. Comr, admitting that the

* para. 3 of memo.

* against Hassan

* para. 4 of memo.

order directing "that in
"the settled talooks, the
"local fund cess is leviable
"at one anna on still-head
"duty and Sayer," — was
inadvertently omitted to be
communicated to the Behar
talook immediately after
the settlement, & states
that orders have been
issued to recover the
difference, and to give
effect to the instructions
of the Chief Comm^r on the
subject.

10. The Comm^r of Ashtagram
states that he has
called for further
information from the Dy.
Comm^r Hassan, on the
subject of the levy of
interest on arrears of
Land revenue referred to in paras

above. This may be awaited.

R.S. Hunnbolly

7/5.

Re Dup[illegible] Appeal, above are approved. Instructions D'spsd

7/6

4. As directed above, instructions were duly issued in this Office Rcpts. No 505/74 dt. 16 May 78.

12. The report alluded to in para 10. above has been submitted by the Comr. of Ashtagram. The Dy. Comr. of Kasaur explains states that the entirety of Rs. 5-14-7 was buried before the receipt of the C.C's Rcpts No. 8389/32 dt. 26 Dec. The explanation may be accepted and filed.

* No 183/44 dt. 6 June 78.

File by us 7/6 R.S. Hunnbolly 12/6

A. 325

Memo.

In para 4. of this Office Prvp. No. 3933 dy. 12th March 1878, 90 the attention of the Comr. Ashtagram Division was drawn to an error in the amount of local cess levied on the Revenue collections of the Hassan District in Decbr 77; the amount shown in the local cess return being Rs. 2239-13-8, while the amount actually leviable (according to this Office calculation) was Rs. 1322-3-0.

2. No explanation on this point having been received, a second order* issued in May last.

* No. 505/74 dy. 16/5/78.

3. The Ashtagram Comr submits* the following explanation of the Dy. Comr of Hassan:-

Through an oversight, "the local cess payable "on the demand of Rs. "42,310-8-3 for Dec/17, "was calculated as "Rs. 2239-13-0 instead "of Rs. 1322-3-0, hence "the difference. I request "that necessary corrections "may be made in "the accounts of this "District"

4. The error is admitted but not sufficiently explained. It will be necessary to alter all the accounts of the District raised up to the present time, under the several heads (excepting No. 4) noted in the margin.

5. Referring to the Annual Stat. Class X No. 4, of the

| | Arrears | Demand for the month | Total | Collections | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| December | 12024-7-3 | 2413-1-7 | 14437-8-10 | 2236-9-10 | 12200-15-0 |
| January | 12200-15-0 | 8991-8-11 | 21192-7-11 | 2594-10-11 | 18597-13-0 |
| February | 10597-13-0 | 8286-2-10 | 26883-15-10 | 1532-12-3 | 25351-3-7 |
| March | 25351-3-7 | 17585-10-0 | 42936-13-7 | 4466-3-6 | 38470-10-1 |

Annual Report.

the past year, it is observed that the figures given in the monthly accounts are strictly followed. Those also then require alteration.

6. The corrections may be made, but it is to be considered why the annual accts. were not altered when the monthly accounts were shewn to be wrong in March last. This office order was dated 12th March 1870, and the annual stat. referred to is dated 11th July 1870. There was ample time to notify the mistake in all the accounts. The Dy. Comm's explanation under these circumstances cannot but be deemed doubtful and favored delay in getting further

[redacted] the correction
may be made in
all the accounts, and
and they are requested to make a
stricter enquiry

to ascertain
the cause of the late
discrepancy referred
to in para 3 above.

E. P. Thumbwood
25/9/78

Sir. In consequence
I made. but he does
not appear
to explain himself
in accordance
with the terms
in the letter of Oct
I have made, and by
an excerpt of the
Order of March last,
the error has not all
once escaped in his Annual or half yr
his Monthly Accounts.
The error appeared on the
Regt Returns
has been detected in his
Annual Mess, this
Comm. must order
take suitable notice
of the negligence there
has been committed
in his Mess, as well as the delay
in rectifying them to the Order of March last.

V. H

Memo. continued

7. The foregoing order was duly communicated to the Comr Ashtapram Dvn in this office Dwgs. No. 2060 dt. 3d Oct 78. R. 209

8. The Comr submits a Bookkeeper, explaining that the difference of Rs. 917-10-8 (between the amount of local cess shewn by the Dy. Comr in his return for December/78 and that computed in this office - vide para. 3 of Memo. No. 562 dt. 1-3-78) is owing to the fact "that the "revenue of Inam villages "does not come under "the head 'demand' while "the local cess levied "thereon is shewn under "the head "Collections". This explanation is satisfactory, & there is no necessity now to

⊗ No. 70/22 dt. 28th April 1879.

× Rs. 2239-13-8

* 1322-3-0

Rs. 917-10-8

after the Annual
Accounts in respect of
this item - (Local cess.)
9. A reference to
para. 3 of the preceding
Memo. (No. 325 dt. 25-9-78)
however will show that
the Hassan Dy. Comr,
on first being referred to,
acknowledged the same error,
attributing it to erroneous
calculation. According
to the Bookkeeper under
reference the correctness
of the original figures
is maintained.

10/5/78

1878.

From The Comm^r Asst^t

Gl No 3677

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
Office ~~General~~ Department.

Dated 13
Despatched
Received 16th February

Enclosures No.

General No. 614
Rev No. 113
187 —7.

I have the honor to submit the Demand Collections and Balance Statements of the Mysore District Nos 1 to 9 for the month of December 1877, those of the Hassan District will be sent as soon as they are received from the Dy Comm^r to whom a reminder has been sent.—

I. J. Wallis.

for Comm^r Asst^t

1878.

2 From The Commissioner
Ashm Ad

To Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
Duffer ~~General~~ Department.

Dated 16th.
Despatched
Received February.

Enclosures No.

General No. 525 } 1877—78.
Rev. No. 15 }

Inf Continuation of this
office Q/No: 614/113 dt 13th Instant
has the honor to Submit
the X marginally noted Revenue
Accounts of the Shassan District
for the Month of December 77.

J. Hoitle.

For Commissioner
Ashm: Ad

X Statements of Demand
Collection and Balance
Nos: 1 to 9.

3

Jw 3933 dj 12 March 1878
nA. 90

Section 662
File No 5-77
Serial No 3

Read

Docket from the Comr Asstagram Divn No. 614/113 dj. 13 Feb. /78 submitting the D.C.B. Statements of the Oxym District for December /77.

do from do- No. 625/115 dj. 16 Feby /78 submitting do of the Harsian District for December /77.

Order thereon.

On a perusal of the Inward, Collection, and Balance Statements referred to above, the following discrepancies have been observed.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Stat A. | 36957-14-7 | Stat I. | 34201-14-6 |
| B | -- -- -- | II | -- -- -- |
| C | 2299-10-11 | III | 41736-2-9 |
| D. | 48631-1-9 | IV | 5857-12-7 |
| | | V | -- -- -- |
| | | VI | 3159-9-6 |
| | | VII | -- -- -- |
| | | VIII | 781- -- -- |
| | | IX | 1818-1-6 |
| | 87868-11-3 | | 86857-8-8 |
| | | Differnce | 1037-2-7 |

2. The balances of the previous month have not been correctly brought forward in the Accounts of the Oxym District for the month under review. As noted in the margin, there is a difference of Rs. 1037-2-7 which requires

explanation.

3. In statement No. 1 a sum of Rs. 96,045-2-0½ is shown as "collections in excess of the demand". It is presumed that this amount was received on account of the kist which fell due in Dec., but the collection of which was postponed till January.

4. The local cess payable on the demand has not been correctly calculated. The differences noted in the margin require explanation.

5. In the Belur taluk which has been settled, the local cess has been levied on the Land revenue demand @ one anna in the rupee, but on still-land duty and Sayer collections @ half instead of one anna.

| | Demand | Comp. Return | in this office. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Land Rev. | 96,045-2-0½ | 3506-15-9 | 3001-13-0 |
| Abkari | 21,186-11-9 | 908-6-5 | 662-1-4 |
| Sayer | 1,604-6-8 | 56-15-1 | 50-12-2 |
| Forest | 4,490-9-7 | 136-5-0 | 140-5-3 |
| Salt. | 0-4-0 | -- | 0-0-1 |
| | 1,23,327-2-0½ | 4608-10-3 | 3854-5-11 |
| | | Diff. | 754-4-4 |

## Hassan District

### Surveyed taluks.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Land Rev. | 33392-14-10 | 1940-10-3 | 1043-8-6 |
| Abkari | 7137-8-8 | 251-0-4 | 223-0-9 |
| Forest | 533-3-5 | 12-7-2 | 16-10-6 |
| Sayer | 1246-13-4 | 35-11-11 | 38-15-3 |
| | 42,310-8-3 | 2239-13-8 | 1322-3-0 |
| | | Difference | 917-10-8 |

### Surveyed taluk - Belur.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Land Rev. | 3370-6-0 | 173-3-11 | 210-10-0 |
| | | difference | 37-6-1 |

To Dy Secy
Gl

Received 6
1878. O.401.
19

From The Commissioner, &c

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 25th April.
Despatched
Received 30
1st May.

**Enclosures No. 1**

General No. 76
No. 18 } 1878—79.

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner No. 3933/90 dated 12th March 1878, passing orders on the statement of demand collection and balance in the Myanoe and Thayan Districts, for December 1877.—

—

Referring to the above, forwards copies of letters noted in the margin from the Dy Commissioners Myanoe and Thayan, affording explanations regarding the several items pointed out.

From the Dy Comr, Thayan, No 3 dated 2d April 1878.
do — do — Myanoe, No 18 dated 9th April 1878.

Rec'd

8

2. Further information has been called for from the Dy Comr., Hapur, regarding the sum of Rs 5. 14. 7, collected on account of interest on certain items in the Munzerabad Talukh for 1877-78.

Offg Comr & Actg



9

Kapan District,
Collector's Office
Dated 2d April 1878.

The Commissioner, Ad.n

Sir,

Adverting to the Chief Court's Pro. No. 3933/90 dated 12th Ultimo, passing orders on the Demand Collection and Balance statements of this District for the month of December last, I have the honor to submit the following explanation

2. The interest of Rs 5.14.7 shown in the accounts as recovered in certain arrears of land revenue is in the Jhanjarabad Taluk for the year 1877/78 the order prohibiting the recovery of the interest on arrears of revenue was only applicable for one year, vide para 2 of the Chief Court's Pro. No. 707/35 dated 2d November 1876.

3. The order of the Chief Court dated 8th Sept. 1873, directing that in the settled Talukas the Local fund cess is leviable at one anna on still head duty and Sayer

collections, was inadvertently omitted to be communicated to the Belur Taluk immediately after the settlement; hence the collection under this head was made under the old rules.

4. Orders have, however, been issued to recover the difference and also to give effect to the instructions of the Chief Comr. on the subject.

I have &c

Sd/ E. Maltby

Dy Comr. Hassan Dt.

No. 16

Dy Comr's Office
Dated Hyderabad 9th April '78.

To,
The Commissioner, Ade.

Sir,

In reply to your Cir. No. 644 of the 21st Instant, I have the honor to explain that in Form D for the month of Decr 1877, a sum of Rs 1174-11-11 had been shown in column 35 as outstanding balance under the head "Miscellaneous," current year. Of this Rs. 137-9-4 being due on account of opium was carried

8

28 2. Further inform
has been called
from the Dy Comr.
regarding the sum
Rs 5. 14. 7, collecte
account of intere
certain items in
Munzerabad Ta
for 1877-78.

D. [illegible]

Dy Comr. &

2.

29 9

Res 3 FOR 5-7 7 5 Do.

Thana District,
by Court's Office
Date 2d April 1878.

The Commissioner, &c.

Sir,

Adverting to the Chief Court's Pro. No. 3933/90 dated 12th Ultimo, passing orders on the Demand Collection and Balance statements of this District for the month of December last, I have the honor to submit the following explanation

2. The interest of Rs 5.14.7 shown in the accounts as recovered in certain arrears of land revenue is in the Manjarabad Taluk for the year 1877/78 The order prohibiting the recovery of the interest on arrears of revenue was only applicable for one year, vide para 2 of the Chief Court's Pro. No. 7675/35 dated 2d November 1876.

3. The order of the Chief Court dated 8th Sept. 1873, directing that in the settled Talukas the Local fund cess is leviable at one anna on still head duty and Sayer

13

1878.

From

To

The Commissioner of the
Ashtagram Division

Dated
Despatched } 16th May 78
Received

Enclosures No.

Daftar
General No. 505
or No. 741

Passing orders on the late
Commissioners explanation
in regard to certain discrepancies
in the D. C. & Balance Statements
of the Mysore & Hassan Districts
for Decr 77.

11 31

into its proper column (6) of new form No. 3 Abkary

The balance of Rs 1037-2-7 formed the total outstanding of the Revenue of the island of Seringapatam, which has all along been dealt with under the head "18 Miscellaneous". In the corresponding new form (No. 5) there is no column wherein outstanding balance could be shown. Hence the amount was left out seeing that the receipts will be shown as recovered

2. The collection shown in statement No. 1 is as is observed by the Chief Court

3. The difference of Rs 754-4-4 between the amount of local cess actually collected and that calculated in the Chief Court's Office is explained below.

| Item | More than the Chief Court's Office calculation | Less than the Chief Court's Office Calculation | Explanation |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | |
| Revenue | 505-2-9 | " " " | 1st The Rev. of Inam villages does not come in under the head "demand" but the cess levied thereon does come under head "Collections" |
| | | | 2nd The amount of Jodi payable to Govt. only is shown under the head "Demand" for Jodi villages but the cess is recovered on the gross Rev. of such villages. |
| | | | The sums falling under the above items for the current and past years are shown. |
| Currency | 246-8-1 | " " " | The local cess levies on the amounts paid to Inamdars |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3. Sayer | 6-12-10 | 32 | by the contractors for the pr |
| 4. Salt | " — " — " | " — " — " | current years, accounts f |
| 5. Forest | " — " — " | " — " — 1 | Due to collections on ana |
| | " — " — " | 4 — " — 3 | Will be recovered in coming |
| | | | The following items of Fo |
| | | | which pay no local cess are |
| | | | in the amount upon which th |
| | | | Office calculations are ba |
| | | | 1st Licence fee on bamboos |
| | | | Jangadi bamb |
| | | | 2d. Sale proce |
| | | | Forest |
| | | | 3d. Fines, &c. |
| Total | 758-4-8 | 4 — " — 4 | |
| Net difference in plus | 754-4-4 | | |

4. The interest shown has been recovered on arrears for previous years and not for 1876-77 in which the levy was suspended.

Shimoga &c
Sd/ A. Logan
for Dy. Comr.
Sangame D.t

"Copies"
D. M.
Dy Comr.
Ad 4

Daftar Genl. No. 505
R 74
Dt. 16th May 78

14

Read

Docket No. 76/18 dated 25th April 1878, with enclosures, from the Commr. Ceded Districts Division, submitting explanation in regard to certain discrepancies in the Demand Collection and Balance Statements of the Mysore and Hassan Districts for December 1877, called for in this Office Proceedings No. 3933/90 dated 12th March 1878.




Order thereon:-

The explanation now tendered in regard to the discrepancies pointed out in the Accounts of the Mysore Distrct is accepted and the Chief Commr. desires that such explanations ~~shall~~ be in future entered either at the foot of the returns or in the column of "remarks".

2. The revenue of the island of Seringapatam should be shewn separately below the total revenue of the other Taluks under the respective heads of the Demand Collection

and Balance Statements.

3. Kafsan District. It is observed that the discrepancies noted against this District in para 4 of this Office Proceedings No. 3933/90 dated 12th March last have not all been explained. The deputy Commrs Proceedings reported in para 4 of his letter No. 3 of 2nd April 1878 are approved.

4. The further information called for by the Commissioner relative to the recovery ~~of arrears of interest~~ of Rupees 5-14-7 being still due on arrears of land revenue will be awaited.

14/5-
Asst Secretary

14/5-

To

The Commrs Ahtapram Div.

" ~~Commrs Chittagong Hill Tracts~~

~~Dy Commr Attaboo~~

~~Deputy Commr Kafsan~~

16

1878.

*From* The Commissioner of the Ashtagram Division

*To*

*Secretary to Chief Commissioner,*
*General Department.*

*Dated* Upper 6th June 1878.

*Despatched*

*Received*

**Enclosures No.**

*General No.* 183 } 1878—79.
*Rev. No.* 42

The Chief Commr's proceedings No. 505 R 74 dated 16th May 1878, passing order on the explanation submitted regarding certain discrepancies in the Statement of Demand collection and Balance for the month of December 1877.

Referring to para 4 of the above proceedings, forwards the enclosed Copy of Docket No. 294 dated 22nd May 1878 from the Dy. Commr Hassan, stating that the interest of Rs. 5"14"7 was levied before the receipt of the Chief Commr's proceedings No. 8381 Rev. 32 dated 26th December 1877.

Commissioner
Asht. Dn.

To be filed with Petn No. 50.

From.

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

To.

The Commissioner
Ashtagram Division.

No. 2174

Camp Channarayapatna, 22nd May 1878

In reply to his docket No. 82 of the 24th April last, has the honor to inform that before the receipt of the Chief Commissioner's proceedings referred to in the above, the collections were made in the months of December as usual, and the interest of Rs 5. 4. 7 appears to have been recovered from those ryots who failed to give their kaudayam.

Sd. E. P. Modder.
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

Copy.

Asst Commissioner
Ashtagram Dn.

1878.

18

From The Commissioner of the Ashtagram Division

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated Mysore, 11th September 1878.

Despatched

Received

**Enclosures No.**

General No. 437 } 187 —7
Rev. No. 725

Chief Commissioner's Proceedings
No. 505/74 dated 16th May 1878.

With reference to para 3 of the above, has the honor to submit Copy of docket No. 742 dated 27th Ultimo, from the Deputy Commr. Hassan District, from which it will be seen that Rs 1322.3.0 is the correct amount of Local Cess on the demand of Rupees 42318.8.3, as pointed out in para 4 of the Proceedings No. 393/90 of the 12th March 1878.

Commissioner
Ashtm. Divn.

20

Read,

Docket from the Comm^r Ashtagram Dist^t No 434/125 dt. 11th Sept^r 78 & its enclosure admitting the discrepancy pointed ~~out~~ observed in para. 4 of the ~~the Chief Comm^r~~ Endt. No. 3933 dt. 12th March 78, as regards the ~~excess~~ recovery of local cess on the demand of the Haripad dt. for Dec^r 1877.

RR
5-77
9

No 2060/2209 of 3rd October 78.

Order thereon.

The ~~x~~ general accounts of the Nasaran District that have been affected by the discrepancy referred to above will now be altered, ~~but according to the explanation submitted~~ ~~by the Collector standing the disbursal thereof by the~~ ~~matter, which has been~~ ~~directed merely for the~~ ~~sake of obviating delay,~~ the Dy. Comm^r is requested to explain more fully

x Dep Com Nasaran Dist has reported that in Local Cess payable in Jan^y the demand for Dec^r 1877, was ~~Expending entered~~ in the Acc^t of the Collector Rs 2239-13-8 instead of Rs 1322-3- This error should be charged due to negligence of Dubil Officer, Inspector & Director of the Accounts as well as of the Banking Accountant.

Pers. Accounts
1878.

To
The Commissioner
Ashtagram Divn

Dated
Despd: 3 2 Dec 1878-

Encl:
Original
Despatch No 2060
R. No: 209

Passing orders on Commr's letter regarding the levy of local cess on the demand of the Nassau District for December 1877-



This paper has accidentally been delayed by me. BG

the circumstances under which this error in the accounts of December last was made, and why, on the receipt of the order of March last the error was not at once rectified in the Annual as well as in the Monthly accounts.

The error, apparent as it was, ought moreover to have been detected in the Office of the Commr who is requested to take suitable notice of the negligence which has occurred in his Office, as well as of the inattention shewn to this Office order No. 3933/90 of 12 March last.

Secretary

To the Commr Ashtagram &c.

2. This Accompanies the Accts transmitted by CC 1 No 9 of 12 March last No 3933/90 conducted to the Comptr & and has been allowed for in the adjustments passed by him instructions, the Error could have been detected at the time by the Cashier, who has to be regarded that the error was carried into the Annual Accts prepared subsequently in the Assistt Audit Office & could not have occurred in the Comptr Office

3. The Captain requests the Commissioner's Calcutta Dispatches [illegible] which is [illegible], submits his explanation regarding the point therein noticed

18/12 1864

18/12

23

1879.

From The Commissioner

To A. L.

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
Daffla ~~General~~ Department.

Dated 28th
Despatched } April 1879.
Received 1/5

ENCLOSURES NO 1.

General No. 70
R No. 72 } 1879—80.

In reply to the Chief Commissioners Proceedings No 2060/209 dy 30th October 1878, regarding the levy of local Cess on the demand of the Hasoan District for December 1877, has the honor to Submit an explanatory Road Karj No 10 dy 28th April 1879.

Section 12

5-77

25

* Given to Shumanock Sub Com... vide letter No. 2895/2895 on 19/4/79.

Rakhan

Commissioner

Asst Comm...

Copy

Railway

Commissioner

Ans Dm



014

14